# scientific reports

Check for updates

## **OPEN** The virucidal effects of 405 nm visible light on SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus

Raveen Rathnasinghe<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Sonia Jangra<sup>1,2</sup>, Lisa Miorin<sup>1,2</sup>, Michael Schotsaert<sup>1,2</sup>, Clifford Yahnke<sup>6</sup> € Adolfo García-Sastre<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>

The germicidal potential of specific wavelengths within the electromagnetic spectrum is an area of growing interest. While ultra-violet (UV) based technologies have shown satisfactory virucidal potential, the photo-toxicity in humans coupled with UV associated polymer degradation limit their use in occupied spaces. Alternatively, longer wavelengths with less irradiation energy such as visible light (405 nm) have largely been explored in the context of bactericidal and fungicidal applications. Such studies indicated that 405 nm mediated inactivation is caused by the absorbance of porphyrins within the organism creating reactive oxygen species which result in free radical damage to its DNA and disruption of cellular functions. The virucidal potential of visible-light based technologies has been largely unexplored and speculated to be ineffective given the lack of porphyrins in viruses. The current study demonstrated increased susceptibility of lipid-enveloped respiratory pathogens of importance such as SARS-CoV-2 (causative agent of COVID-19) and influenza A virus to 405 nm, visible light in the absence of exogenous photosensitizers thereby indicating a potential alternative porphyrinindependent mechanism of visible light mediated viral inactivation. These results were obtained using less than expected irradiance levels which are considered safe for humans and commercially achievable. Our results support further exploration of the use of visible light technology for the application of continuous decontamination in occupied areas within hospitals and/or infectious disease laboratories, specifically for the inactivation of respiratory pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza A.

The severe-acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of the COVID-19 pandemic, is a member of the beta-coronavirus family and it emerged at the end of 2019 in the Hubei province in Wuhan China<sup>1</sup>. By late February 2021, more than 112 million cases had been reported while accounting for approximately 2.5 million deaths, underscoring the rapid dissemination of the virus on a global scale<sup>2</sup>. As a complement to standard precautions such as handwashing, masking, surface disinfection, and social distancing, other enhancements to enclosed spaces such as improved ventilation and whole-room disinfection are being considered by segments beyond acute healthcare such as commercial offices retail, dining, and transportation<sup>3</sup>.

Initial guidance from health authorities such as the CDC and WHO on environmental transmission focused on contaminated surfaces as fomites<sup>4</sup>. Data pertaining to the survival of SARS-CoV-2 and other related coronaviruses to date has indicated that virions are able to persist on fomites composed of plastic<sup>5</sup>, wood<sup>6</sup>, paper<sup>5</sup>, metal<sup>7</sup> and glass<sup>8</sup> potentially up to 9 days. Recent studies have suggested that SARS-CoV-2 may also remain viable approximately at least 3 days in such surfaces and another two studies showed that at room temperature (20–25 °C), a 14-day time-period was required to see a 4.5–5 Log<sub>10</sub> of the virus<sup>9,10</sup>.

Since the start of the pandemic, transmission of the virus by respiratory droplets and aerosols has become an accepted method of transmission although the relative impact of each mode of transmission is the subject of much debate. Nevertheless, enclosed spaces with groups of people exercising or singing have been associated with increased transmission. The half-life survival of SARS-CoV-2 in this type of environment has been estimated between 1 and 2 h<sup>6,11,12</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. <sup>2</sup>Global Health and Emerging Pathogens Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. <sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. <sup>4</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. <sup>5</sup>The Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. <sup>6</sup>Kenall Manufacturing, Kenosha, WI 53144, USA. Zemail: cliff.yahnke@kenall.com; adolfo.garcia-sastre@mssm.edu

Taking this information into consideration, several methods have been shown to effectively inactivate SARS-CoV-2. Chemical methods, which focus on surface disinfection, utilize 70% alcohol and bleach and their benefits are well established. These methods are also episodic (or non-continuous) meaning that in-between applications, the environment is not being treated<sup>13</sup>.

In addition to chemicals, one of the most utilized methods for whole-room disinfection is germicidal ultraviolet C (UVC;  $\sim 254 \text{ nm}$ )<sup>14</sup>. Th s technology is well established<sup>15</sup> and has been shown to inactivate a range of pathogens including bacteria<sup>16</sup>, fungi<sup>17</sup> and viruses<sup>18</sup>. The mechanism of action of UVC is photodimerization of genetic material such as RNA (relevant for SARS-CoV2 and IAV) and DNA (relevant for DNA viruses and bacterial pathogens, among others)<sup>19</sup>. Unfortunately, this effect has been associated with deleterious effects in exposed humans such as photokeratoconunctivitis in eyes and photodermatitis in skin<sup>20</sup>. For these reasons, UVC irradiation requires safety precautions and cannot be used to decontaminate fomites and high contact areas in the presence of humans<sup>21</sup>.

Germicidal properties of violet-blue visible light (380-500 nm), especially within the range of 405 to 450 nm have been shown as an alternative to UVC irradiation in human occupied whole-room disinfection scenarios where it has shown reduction of bacteria<sup>22,23</sup> and surgical site infections<sup>24</sup>. Although 405 nm or closely related wavelengths have been shown to be less germicidal than UVC, its inactivation potential has been assessed in pathogenic bacteria such as Listeria spp. and Clostridium spp.<sup>24,25</sup>, and in fungal species such as Saccharomyces spp. and Candida spp.<sup>26</sup>. It is thought that the underlying mechanism of blue-light mediated inactivation is associated with absorption of light via photosensitizers such as porphyrins which results in the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS)<sup>27,28</sup>. The emergence of ROS is associated with direct damage to biomolecules such as proteins, lipids and nucleic acids which are essential constituents of bacteria, fungi and viruses. Further studies have shown that ROS can also lead to the loss of cell membrane permeability mediated by lipid oxidation<sup>29</sup>. Given the lack of endogenous photosensitizers such as porphyrins in virions, it was expected that efficient decontamination of viruses (both enveloped and non-enveloped) required the addition of exogenous photosensitizers<sup>23</sup>. With the use of media suspensions (e.g. saliva, blood, etc.) containing both endogenous and/or exogenous photosensitizers (to create the ROS required for inactivation), 405nm light was shown to inactivate viruses such as feline calcivirus (FCV)<sup>30</sup>, viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV)<sup>31</sup> and murine norovirus-1<sup>32</sup> using unsafe, commercially impractical irradiance levels. Th s highlights the importance of answering the basic scientific questions related to viral inactivation within the context of the applied science required for clinical application. Our study specifi ally focused on three questions: (1) does the same wavelength of light (405 nm) that inactivates bacteria also inactivate enveloped viruses, (2) is a porphyrin rich medium required for this inactivation, and (3) can this inactivation be achieved using safe, commercially practical irradiance levels?

In the current study, we show the impact of 405 nm irradiation on inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A H1N1 viruses without the use of photosensitizers making it directly relevant to the clinical environment. We show this using a commercially available visible light disinfection system ensuring that the irradiance used is both safe and practically achievable in a clinical setting supporting the possible use of 405 nm irradiation as a tool to confer continuous decontamination of respiratory pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A viruses. We further show the increased susceptibility of lipid-enveloped viruses for irradiation in comparison to non-enveloped viruses, further characterizing the virucidal effects of visible light.

#### Materials and methods

**405 nm exposure system.** The visible light disinfection product used in this study was a commercially available 6" LED downlight (Indigo-Clean, Kenall, Kenosha, WI) to allow for use within a BSL-3 level containment hood. Within the hood, the distance between the face of the fix ure and the sample was 10"-much less than the normal 1.5 m used in normal, whole-room disinfection applications. The output of the fix ure was modifi d electronically during its manufacture to match this difference and ensure that the measurements would represent the performance of the device in actual use. Th s test setup is shown in a BSL-2 hood in Fig. 1A below. Note the spectroradiometer and the bottom portion of the rig (~6") used for calibration were removed during the actual study (Fig. 1B).

Controls were placed outside the test rig but within the BSL hood as shown in Fig. 1B. Note that this picture contains the bottom portion of the test rig to highlight the position of the radiometer. For the range of output used in this study, multiple discrete levels were created using pulse width modulation within the LED driver itself. These levels were made to be individually selectable using a simple knob on the attached control module.

For reference, the amount of visible light within the 400–420 nm bandwidth, measured in mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, is a measurement of the "dose" delivered to the target organism and is used to quantify this relationship similar to that used in UV disinfection applications.

To fully examine this effect, a range of irradiance values were used representing actual product deployment conditions in occupied rooms. The lowest value  $(0.035 \text{ mW cm}^{-2})$  represents a single-mode, lower wattage used in general lighting applications while the highest value  $(0.6 \text{ mW cm}^{-2})$  represents a dual-mode, higher wattage used in critical care applications such as an operating room.

The device was placed in a rig to ensure a consistent distance (10") between the fix ure and the samples. The output of the fix ure in the test rig was measured using a Stellar-RAD Radiometer from StellarNet configu ed to make wavelength and irradiance measurements from 350 to 1100 nm with < 1 nm spectral bandwidth using a NIST traceable calibration. To ensure that the regular white light portion of the illumination (which is non-disinfecting) was not measured, the measurement was electronically limited to a 1 nm bandwidth over the 400–420 nm range. The normalized spectral profile is shown in Fig. 1C below. The absolute value of the measurement was determined using a NIST traceable calibration as previously described. Samples were irradiated with the range of wavelengths depicted in Fig. 1C. Th s was deliberately done for two reasons: (1) prior work



**Figure 1.** Inactivation device setup and characterization. (**A**) Test setup shown with spectroradiometer, and extension used for calibration. (**B**) Test setup showing the placement of the control and sample for irradiation. The bottom portion of the test rig was removed during the actual experiment to ensure the 10" distance used in the study. (**C**) Normalized spectral power distribution showing peak irradiance at 405 nm. (**D**) Normalized spectral power distribution for the fluorescent control light (non-disinfecting) and standard LED light (without 405 nm) used in the study. Each spectrum is normalized relative to its own peak value.

had shown that visible light disinfection was primarily active at 405 nm<sup>33</sup> and (2) to emphasize the applied science associated with actual clinical use where a virus in the environment could be exposed to both 405 nm and regular white light in an occupied room.

To isolate the contribution of 405 nm light, the control samples were placed outside the field of irradiation created by the disinfection product but within the biosafety hood. Accordingly, these samples were exposed to the overhead lights within the room which contained virtually no 405 nm light (<0.001 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

In any assessment of viral inactivation, thermal denaturing of the organism is a concern. Older lighting technologies such as incandescent sources heat a resistive element and were widely used in a variety of applications. This creates heat at both the source and to objects within its filld. Fortunately, the disinfecting light (sample) and the overhead lights in the room (control) did not use this technology and therefore contain no infrared emissions (>800 nm) a commonly known benefit associated with LED lighting. As a confilmation, the temperature beneath the disinfecting light was measured using a commercially available thermocouple during a 24-h period. During this time, the temperature at the sample position was constant at 20 °C  $\pm$  0.5 °C even at the highest disinfecting power (0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

For reference, two spectral profiles, one for traditional fluorescent lighting and the other for standard LED lighting are provided in Fig. 4 below. While both types of lighting are commonly used in the overhead lights within buildings, the lights in the BSL-3 laboratory were traditional fluorescent. As shown in Fig. 1B, the controls were exposed only to traditional fluorescent lighting with a negligible amount of disinfecting light (<0.001 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) between 400 and 420 nm. Due to the inherent differences between fluorescent and LED lighting, the standard LED spectra has a small, but measurable amount of disinfecting light (0.006 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) between 400 and 420 nm. As will be later shown, this amount of light can have a measurable disinfecting effect.

**Cells and viruses.** Vero-E6 cells (ATCC<sup>\*</sup> CRL-1586<sup>™</sup>, clone E6) were maintained in Dulbecco's Modifi d Eagle Medium (DMEM) complemented with 10% heat-inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (HI-FBS; PEAK serum), penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco; 15140-122), HEPES buffer (Gibco; 15630-080) and MEM non-essential aminoacids (Gibco; 25025CL) at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Vero-CCL81 (ATCC<sup>\*</sup> CRL-81<sup>™</sup>) cells and MDCK cells (ATCC<sup>\*</sup> CCL-34) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% HI-FBS and penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO2. All experiments involving SARS-CoV2 (USA-WA1/202, BEI resource-NR52281) were conducted within a biosafety-level 3 (BSL3) containment facility at Icahn school of medicine at Mount Sinai by trained workers upon authorization of protocols by a biosafety committee. Amplifi ation of SARS-CoV-2 viral stocks was done in Vero-E6 cell confluent monolayers by using an infection medium composed of DMEM supplemented with 2% HI-FBS, Non-essential amino acids (NEAA), Hepes and penicillin-streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h. Influenza A virus used here was generated using plasmid based reverse genetics system as previously described<sup>34</sup>. The backbone used in the study was A/Puerto Rico/8/34/Mount Sinai (H1N1) under the GenBank accession number AF389122. IAV-PR8 virus was grown and titrated in MDCK as previously described<sup>34</sup>. As a non-enveloped virus, the cell culture adapted murine Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV; ATCC\* VR-12B) was propagated and titrated in Vero-CCL81 cells with DMEM and 2% HI-FBS and penicillin-streptomycin at 37 °C with 5%  $CO_2$  for 48 h<sup>35</sup>.

**405 nm inactivation of viruses.** The SARS-CoV-2 virus was exclusively handled at the Icahn school of Medicine BSL-3 and studies involving IAV and EMCV were handled in BSL-2 conditions. Indicated PFU amounts were mixed with sterile  $1 \times$  PBS and were irradiated in 96 well format cell culture plates in triplicates. In these studies, A starting dose of  $5 \times 10^5$  PFU for SARS-CoV-2 and starting doses of  $1 \times 10^5$  PFU for IAV and EMCV were used. The fi al volumes for inactivation were 250 µl per replicate. The untreated samples were prepared the same way and were left inside the biosafety cabinet isolated from the inactivation device at room temperature. The plates were sealed with qPCR plate transparent seal and an approximate 10% reduction of the intensity was observed due to the sealing film. The distance from the lamp and the samples was measured to be 10″. All samples were extracted at indicated times and were frozen at – 80 °C and were thawed together for titration via plaque assays.

Plaque assays. For SARS-CoV-2 studies, confluent monolayers of Vero-E6 cells in 12-well plate format were infected (with an inoculum volume 150 µl) with tenfold serially diluted samples in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with bovine serum albumin (BSA) and penicillin-streptomycin for an hour while gently shaking the plates every 15 min. Afterwards, the inoculum was removed, and the cells were incubated with an overlay composed of MEM with 2% FBS and 0.05% Oxoid agar for 72 h at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The plates were subsequently fi ed using 10% formaldehyde overnight and the formaldehyde was removed along with the overlay. Fixed monolayers were blocked with 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% tween-20 (TBS-T) for an hour. Afterwards, plates were immunostained using a monoclonal antibody against SARS-CoV2 nucleoprotein (Creative-Biolabs; NP1C7C7) at a dilution of 1:1000 followed by 1:5000 anti-mouse IgG monoclonal antibody and was developed using KPL TrueBlue peroxidase substrate for 10 min (Seracare; 5510-0030). After washing the plates with distilled water, the number of a plaques were counted. Plaque assays for IAV and EMCV were done in a similar fashion. For IAV, confluent monolayers of MDCK cells supplemented with MEM-based overlay with TPCK-treated trypsin was used and was incubated for 48 h at 37 °C with 5% CO2. For EMCV, Vero-CCL81 cells were used to do plaque assays in 6 well plate format with an inoculum volume of 200 µl and was incubated for 48 h at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Plaques for IAV and EMCV were visualized using crystal violet. Data shown here is derived from three independent experimental setups.

#### Results

**Dose and time dependent inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 in the absence of photosensitizers.** The lowest irradiation dose of 0.035 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> was applied for SARS-CoV-2 and when compared to the T<sub>4H</sub> untreated control, a reduction of 0.3288 log<sub>10</sub> was seen as early as 4 h and after 24 h of irradiation, an inactivation of 1.0325 log<sub>10</sub> (approximately 10 times reduction in infectivity) was observed for SARS-CoV-2 via plaque assays (Fig. 2A). A slightly higher dose of 0.076 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> yielded 0.4123 log<sub>10</sub>, 0.6118 log<sub>10</sub> and 1.5393 log<sub>10</sub> reduction by 4, 8 and 12 h post irradiation when compared to the respective untreated controls (Fig. 2B). Subsequent increase of the irradiation dose to 0.150 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> resulted in a reduction of 0.4771 log<sub>10</sub> after 4 h which then had a 1.1206 log<sub>10</sub> after 12 h. Irradiation for 24 h at 0.150 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> suggested a total reduction of 2.0056 log<sub>10</sub> (256 times) for SARS-CoV-2 and (Fig. 2C). As a fi al experiment, a high irradiation dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> was used to assess the inactivation potential within a much shorter time frame. Irradiation for 1 h resulted in a reduction of 0.4150 log<sub>10</sub> which reached 1.2943 log<sub>10</sub> reduction by 4 h and 2.309 log<sub>10</sub> (385 times) after 8 h in comparison to untreated controls samples at the corresponding times. (Fig. 2D,E). All experimental conditions demonstrated the stability of untreated SARS-CoV-2 which was left at room temperature in PBS, as shown by the marginal reduction of viral titer over time.

**Influenza A virus is susceptible to 405 nm inactivation in the absence of photosensitizers.** Given the observations derived from SARS-CoV-2, a separate inactivation study using a different lipidenveloped RNA virus was conducted by using influenza A Puerto Rico (A/H1N1/PR8-Mount Sinai) virus strain. Irradiation with a high dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> suggested a time dependent reduction of infectious titers as calculated by the 0.1619 log<sub>10</sub>, 0.5609 log<sub>10</sub>, and 1.6115 log<sub>10</sub> (66 times) reductions at 1, 2, 4 and 8 h respectively (Fig. 3A). And the reduction of plaques was apparent (Fig. 3B).



**Figure 2.** Dose and time dependent inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 virus in PBS by 405 nm irradiation. (**A**) A dose of 0.035 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> or (**B**) a dose of 0.076 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> or (**C**) a dose of 0.150 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> or (**D**) a dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> was applied to irradiate samples at 405 nm over a course of 24 while sampling at 4, 8, 12 and 24 h (for **A**, **B** and **C**) or over a course of 8 h while sampling at 1, 2, 4 and 8 h (**D**) was done in independent triplicates. Blue bars indicate treated samples and red bars correspond to the untreated equivalent that was left at the biosafety cabinet under the same conditions while not subjecting to disinfecting irradiation. Data shown as PFUml<sup>-1</sup> in triplicate assessed by plaque assay. (**E**) Plaque phenotype comparison from one independent experiment at an irradiation dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. Fixed and blocked plaques were immunostained using anti-SARS-CoV-2/NP antibody before developing using TrueBlue reagent. Data show in here are from three independent replicates (Mean + SEM).

The stability of IAV virus at room temperature for a period of 8 h was found to be the negligible in untreated IAV spiked PBS samples (Fig. 3A).

**Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) as a model non-enveloped virus indicates reduced susceptibility to 405 nm inactivation in the absence of photosensitizers.** In order to better understand the effect of the lipid-envelope in viral inactivation by 405 nm irradiation, we used a non-lipid enveloped RNA virus derived from the *Picornaviridae* family. EMCV virus was irradiated at a high dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> similar to SARS-CoV-2 and IAV.



**Figure 3.** Inactivation of Influenza A virus in PBS by 405 nm irradiation. (**A**) A dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> was applied to irradiate samples at 405 nm over a course 8 h while sampling at 1, 2, 4 and 8 h (done in independent triplicates). Blue bars indicate treated samples and red bars correspond to the untreated equivalent that was left at the biosafety cabinet under the same conditions while not subjected to disinfecting irradiation. Data shown as PFUml<sup>-1</sup> in triplicate assessed by plaque assay. (**B**) Plaque phenotype comparison from one independent experiment at an irradiation dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. Fixed and blocked plaques were stained using crystal violet. Data show in here are from three independent replicates (Mean + SEM).



**Figure 4.** Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) in PBS shows reduced susceptibility to 405 nm irradiation. (**A**) A dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> was applied to irradiate samples at 405 nm over a course 8 h while sampling at 1, 2, 4 and 8 h (done in independent triplicates). Blue bars indicate treated samples and red bars correspond to the untreated equivalent that was left t the biosafety cabinet under the same conditions while not subjected to disinfecting irradiation. Data shown as PFUml<sup>-1</sup> in triplicate assessed by plaque assay. (**B**) Plaque phenotype comparison from one independent experiment at an irradiation dose of 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. Fixed and blocked plaques were stained using crystal violet. Data show in here are from three independent replicates (Mean + SEM).



**Figure 5.** Inactivation potential for SARS-CoV-2 using standard LED lighting containing spectral wavelengths from 400 to 420 nm. Standard LED lights were used to irradiate samples over a course 24 h while sampling at 4, 8, 12 and 24 h (done in independent triplicates). Blue bars indicate treated samples and red bars correspond to the untreated equivalent that was left t the biosafety cabinet under the same conditions while not subjected to disinfecting irradiation. Data shown as PFUml<sup>-1</sup> in triplicate assessed by plaque assay.

In this case however, a total reduction of 0.0969 (approximately 2 times) in comparison to the untreated after 8 h of irradiation was observed (Fig. 4A,B) indicating a lower rate of inactivation (despite identical dosing) in contrast to the lipid-enveloped RNA viruses tested in this study. The plaque reduction at 8 h did not indicate the same dramatic reduction as observed with the latter studies.

### Disinfection potential of standard LED lighting containing spectral wavelengths from 400 to

**420 nm.** As shown in Fig. 1D, standard LED lighting (without the specific addition of 405 nm light) has a small but measurable amount of disinfecting light ( $0.006 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ) in the 400 nm to 420 nm range.

To quantify the disinfecting effect of this light, irradiations were performed for 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 24 h. A reduction of 0.7 Log was observed by 4 h in comparison to the controls. By 24 h, a total of 1.7 log was observed using a standard LED light (Fig. 5).

#### Discussion

The ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has affected day-to-day functions in the entire world, raising concerns not only with regards to therapeutics but also in the context of virus survivorship and decontamination<sup>36</sup>. Taking into consideration the rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 from person to person by droplets, aerosols, and fomites, whole-room disinfection systems can be viewed as a supplement to best practices for interrupting transmission of the virus.

Given the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, we wanted to explore the impact of 405 nm enriched visible light technology on inactivation of respiratory pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus.

Without the use of exogenous photosensitizers, we were able to show that irradiation with low intensity (0.035 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) visible light yielded a reduction of  $\log_{10} 0.3288$  inactivation after 4 h (0.5 J cm<sup>-2</sup>) and a total  $\log_{10} 1.0325$  inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 after 24 h (3.02 J cm<sup>-2</sup>). A slightly higher dose (0.076 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) resulted in  $\log_{10} 1.5393$  reduction after 24 h (6.56 J cm<sup>-2</sup>) while an irradiation dose of 0.150 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> showed a reduction  $\log_{10} 2.0056$  after 24 h (12.96 J cm<sup>-2</sup>) of irradiation. Finally, increasing the dose to 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> yielded  $\log_{10} 2.3010$  reduction only after 8 h (17.28 J cm<sup>-2</sup>), indicating a both time and dose dependent inactivation of infectious viruses.

The irradiations using standard LED lighting raise some interesting questions for further discussion. With nearly  $6 \times$  the amount of disinfecting light as compared to traditional fluorescent lighting but 1/6th the lowest amount of 405 nm used in the study; it is conceivable that a reduction could be observed. The magnitude of this effect at less than 24 h was larger than expected based on other irradiations performed in this study (Fig. 5). These results at 24 h were largely consistent with other irradiation levels and reinforce the general observation of a 405 nm dose-dependent effect. The intermediate irradiation levels (4 h, 8 h, and 12 h) were generally larger than expected even when accounting for experimental uncertainty.

A similar effect was observed by Bache, et al. in whole-room bacterial reduction studies<sup>37</sup>. They saw a disinfecting effect for irradiance values as low as 0.0023 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. This effect was shown to be uncorrelated to the level of irradiance used suggesting that the mere abundance of 405 nm light can initiate the oxidizing chemical reaction. Nevertheless, our results clearly show a dose-dependent effect. One possible explanation for this observation is that the lower irradiance levels expose different responses by specific organisms within a population based on the individual biology of that organism. Clearly, these results suggest that additional factors may be at work and warrant further investigation.

We selected conventional plaque assays as the read out to specifi ally estimate infectious virus titers upon disinfection. Methods based in the quantification of viral RNA via PCR based techniques might be misleading as they detect viral RNA from both infectious and noninfectious virions.

SARS-CoV-2 is a lipid-enveloped virus composed of a ssRNA genome and our data indicates its susceptibility to visible light mediated inactivation. To further confi m these observations, we used influenza A virus. which is another human respiratory virus with a lipid envelope and a segmented-RNA genome. Upon irradiating for 1 h at 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> (2.16 J cm<sup>-2</sup>), we observed a total reduction of  $\log_{10} 0.1619$  for the influenza A virus compared to the reduction of  $log_{10}$  0.4150 for SARS-CoV-2 under the same conditions. While both viruses have lipid envelopes, there is clearly a difference here that will require further study. One possible explanation is the difference in the virion size creating a physically smaller cross-section for absorption. (IAV ~ 120 nm and SARS-CoV-2 ~ 200 nm)<sup>38,39</sup>. Nevertheless, both viruses were largely inactivated after 8 h achieving more  $1.5 \log_{10}$ reduction. Intriguingly, it was observed that both RNA viruses were able to remain stable at room temperature for at least 24 h, indicating minimal decay which is consistent with previous studies<sup>36,40</sup>. We next irradiated a non-enveloped RNA virus, EMCV. Previous results for visible light against non-enveloped viruses demonstrated the need for external photosensitizers such as artifi ial saliva, blood, feces, etc<sup>30,36</sup>. Without a porphyrin containing medium, we expected little to no inactivation when this virus was irradiated with visible light. For these measurements, we used the highest available irradiance of  $0.6 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . As anticipated, we observed only a  $\log_{10} 0.0969$  reduction after 8 h, however, this appears to be with the statistical precision of the measurement based on the results obtained from shorter irradiations (1, 2, and 4 h). For comparison, a study involving the M13-bacteriophage virus (a non-enveloped virus) showed a 3-Log reduction using an irradiance of 50 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> (almost 100 times greater than the highest irradiance used in this study) for 10 h at 425 nm further supporting the idea that non-enveloped viruses may require higher doses of visible light<sup>41</sup>.

Our study was conducted using a neutral liquid media composed of PBS without any photosensitizers and we were able to show that visible light can indeed inactivate lipid-enveloped viruses, differing from the theory that states that photosensitizers are a requirement for inactivation. While these results provide insight into the basic science involved, they were performed within the context of the applied science needed to show the potential

impact of this technology upon the current COVID-19 pandemic. By using safe, commercially practical irradiance levels, our results are more directly translatable to occupied rooms in the clinical environment.

Other studies which used visible light-based irradiation have shown similar results in the absence of photosensitizers, indicating the possibility of an alternative inactivation mechanism<sup>23,25,30</sup>. Studies have proposed two theories for this observation primarily due to non-405 nm wavelengths emitted by the source: (1) some amount of 420–430 nm emitted from the source is contributing to the viral inactivation<sup>42</sup>, and (2) the presence of UV-A (390 nm) within the source. This wavelength is known to create oxidative stress upon viral capsids<sup>43</sup>.

Longer wavelengths, such as 420–430 nm, have shown inactivation of the murine leukemia virus (MRV-A)<sup>42</sup>. While this is an intriguing study, it used a broad-spectrum lamp with optical filters to selectively identify the spectrum primarily responsible with their results. Unfortunately, they did not quantify the amount of light (using radiometric units) within the spectrum of interest used to irradiate the virus. While the transmission profile of the filters used was provided, it does not consider the spectral composition of the source itself making any direct quantitative comparison between our studies impossible. It is interesting to note that they did observe viral inactivation in their controls from wavelengths less than 420 nm confi ming the qualitative fi dings of our study without confirming the specifi use of 405 nm. This suggests that the viral inactivation is a likely a broad response (> 20 nm) with relative contributions unique to the chemistry of each organism. They also considered much longer exposures (~ 7 days) and much higher illuminance (> 200 lx) than that used in our study although this is again difficult to compare given the lack of radiometric quantification of their light source. It is important to note that the control samples used in our study were exposed to the same overhead (non-405 nm) lights as the irradiated samples and our results are the observed difference between the two demonstrating the contribution from 405 nm over and above that potentially from 420 to 430 nm. Future experiments can further quantify the potential effect.

The other theory, potential UV-A irradiation, was historically applied to lamp-based sources with broad spectral (>100 nm) outputs. Again, the use of LED technology addresses this question as the peak irradiance at 390 nm of the device used in this study was < 1% of its peak irradiance at 405 nm without the need for any additional filtration. Future experiments can further quantify the potential effect.

Another consideration to be addressed is thermal heating of the virus by the LED source. Tsen and Achilefu used a pulsed laser method at 425 nm<sup>44</sup> with ~ 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> average power density for <2 h while simultaneously measuring the sample temperature with a thermocouple. They detected less than a 2 °C demonstrating minimal temperature impact even under a power density nearly 9 orders of magnitude larger than that used in this study. Th s was confi med by our thermocouple measurements as stated earlier. Nazari et al. used an 805 nm source with an average power density of >0.3 W cm<sup>-2</sup> for 10 s, nearly 1000 times that used in this study<sup>45</sup>. While the total energy delivered was more comparable to that used in our study, they did not make explicit temperature measurements, their analysis ruled out any potential thermal effects.

One possible explanation for the observed differences between the enveloped and non-enveloped organisms is absorption of the 405 nm light by the lipid envelope itself. This could, in turn, lead to the creation of reactive oxygen species (causing an oxidative effect) or simply destruction of the envelope leading to a denaturing of the organism. Th s question could serve as the basis for a range of future studies.

The results obtained suggest that the performance of visible light against SARS-CoV-2 is similar to other organisms commonly found in the environment such as *S. aureus*. Previous studies have shown that the visible light irradiance levels used in this study (0.035 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> to 0.6 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) reduce bacteria levels in occupied rooms and improve outcomes for surgical procedures. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that visible light might be an effective disinfectant against SARS-CoV-2. More importantly, this disinfection can operate continuously as it is safe for humans based upon the exposure guidelines in IEC 62471<sup>46</sup>. This means that once it has been in use for a period of time, the environment will be cleaner and safer at all future times including when it is occupied by humans.

One limitation of this study is that the inactivation assays were performed in static liquid media as opposed to aerosolized droplets. While the use of visible light in air disinfection has been briefly studied where it was shown that its effectiveness increased approximately fourfold<sup>47</sup>, further studies involving dynamic aerosolization are needed to better understand the true potential of visible light mediated viral inactivation.

In any case, our study shows the increased susceptibility of enveloped respiratory viral pathogens to 405 nm mediated inactivation in the absence of photosensitizers. The irradiances used in this study are very low and might be easily applied to disinfect occupied areas safely and continuously within hospitals, schools, restaurants, office and other locations. Of particular interest is the potential for standard LED lighting to play a role in reducing the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the environment.

#### Conclusions

We have demonstrated the basic science of inactivation of enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza-A using 405 nm visible light within the context of the applied science required for this technology to have an impact upon the current COVID-19 pandemic. Without the need for exogenous photosensitizers and by using safe, commercially practical irradiance levels, our results can be easily translated to the clinical environment.

#### Future efforts

Future work should focus on explaining the difference between the enveloped and non-enveloped results. This may include transmission electron microscopy (TEM), hemagglutination assay (HA), or other methods focusing on the potential role that a mediated reaction (due to the envelope itself) might play. The size of the virion particle may play a role in photoelectric absorption and could be studied for different viral species. We acknowledge that while unlikely, other wavelengths of visible light, beyond 400–420 nm may play a role in the inactivation process

and future studies should explore this possibility as well. Finally, the inactivation kinetics of low irradiances could add valuable insight into clinical applications of this technology.

Received: 25 March 2021; Accepted: 26 August 2021 Published online: 30 September 2021

#### References

- 1. Andersen, K. G., Rambaut, A., Lipkin, W. I., Holmes, E. C. & Garry, R. F. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nat. Med. 26, 450-452 (2020).
- 2. Worldometer, D. COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic. http://www.worldometers.info (World Health Organization, 2020).
- 3. Buitrago-Garcia, D. et al. Occurrence and transmission potential of asymptomatic and presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections: A living systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 17, e1003346 (2020).
- WHO (2020). https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-impli cations-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations.
- 5. Dehbandi, R. & Zazouli, M. A. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions. Lancet Microbe 1, e145 (2020).
- 6. Van Doremalen, N. *et al.* Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, 1564–1567 (2020).
- 7. Behzadinasab, S., Chin, A., Hosseini, M., Poon, L. & Ducker, W. A. A surface coating that rapidly inactivates SARS-CoV-2. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 12, 34723–34727 (2020).
- 8. Chan, K. et al. Factors affecting stability and infectivity of SARS-CoV-2. J. Hosp. Infect. 106, 226-231 (2020).
- Biryukov, J. et al. Increasing temperature and relative humidity accelerates inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 on surfaces. mSphere https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00441-20 (2020).
- 10. Aboubakr, H. A., Sharafeldin, T. A. & Goyal, S. M. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses in the environment and on common touch surfaces and the influence of climatic conditions: A review. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **68**, 296–312 (2021).
- 11. Smither, S. J., Eastaugh, L. S., Findlay, J. S. & Lever, M. S. Experimental aerosol survival of SARS-CoV-2 in artific al saliva and tissue culture media at medium and high humidity. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 9, 1415–1417 (2020).
- 12. Schuit, M. et al. Airborne SARS-CoV-2 is rapidly inactivated by simulated sunlight. J. Infect. Dis. 222, 564-571 (2020).
- 13. Kampf, G., Todt, D., Pfaender, S. & Steinmann, E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J. Hosp. Infect. 104, 246–251 (2020).
- 14. Rutala, W. A. & Weber, D. J. Disinfection and sterilization in health care facilities: What clinicians need to know. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **39**, 702–709 (2004).
- Rathnasinghe, R. et al. Scalable, effective, and rapid decontamination of SARS-CoV-2 contaminated N95 respirators using germicidal ultra-violet C (UVC) irradiation device. medRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.05.20206953 (2020).
- 16. Escombe, A. R. *et al.* Upper-room ultraviolet light and negative air ionization to prevent tuberculosis transmission. *PLoS Med.* **6**, e1000043 (2009).
- Nakpan, W., Yermakov, M., Indugula, R., Reponen, T. & Grinshpun, S. A. Inactivation of bacterial and fungal spores by UV irradiation and gaseous iodine treatment applied to air handling filters. *Sci. Total Environ.* 671, 59–65 (2019).
- Tseng, C. & Li, C. Inactivation of viruses on surfaces by ultraviolet germicidal irradiation. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 4, 400–405 (2007).
   Kowalski, W. Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation Handbook: UVGI for Air and Surface Disinfection (Springer Science & Business
- Media, 2010).
  Zaffina, S. *et al.* Accidental exposure to UV radiation produced by germicidal lamp: Case report and risk assessment. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 88, 1001–1004 (2012).
- Leung, K. C. P. & Ko, T. C. S. Improper use of the germicidal range ultraviolet lamp for household disinfection leading to phototoxicity in COVID-19 suspects. Cornea 40, 121–122 (2021).
- Maclean, M. et al. Environmental decontamination of a hospital isolation room using high-intensity narrow-spectrum light. J. Hosp. Infect. 76, 247-251 (2010).
- Maclean, M., McKenzie, K., Anderson, J. G., Gettinby, G. & MacGregor, S. J. 405 nm light technology for the inactivation of pathogens and its potential role for environmental disinfection and infection control. J. Hosp. Infect. 88, 1–11 (2014).
- 24. Murrell, L. J., Hamilton, E. K., Johnson, H. B. & Spencer, M. Influence of a visible-light continuous environmental disinfection system on microbial contamination and surgical site infections in an orthopedic operating room. *Am. J. Infect. Control* **47**, 804–810 (2019).
- Maclean, M., Murdoch, L. E., MacGregor, S. J. & Anderson, J. G. Sporicidal effects of high-intensity 405 nm visible light on endospore-forming bacteria. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 89, 120–126 (2013).
- Murdoch, L., McKenzie, K., Maclean, M., Macgregor, S. & Anderson, J. Lethal effects of high-intensity violet 405-nm light on Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Candida albicans, and on dormant and germinating spores of Aspergillus niger. Fungal Biol. 117, 519–527 (2013).
- 27. Dai, T. et al. Blue light for infectious diseases: Propionibacterium acnes, Helicobacter pylori, and beyond?. Drug Resist. Updates 15, 223–236 (2012).
- Bumah, V. V. *et al.* Spectrally resolved infrared microscopy and chemometric tools to reveal the interaction between blue light (470 nm) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 167, 150–157 (2017).
- Hadi, J., Dunowska, M., Wu, S. & Brightwell, G. Control measures for SARS-CoV-2: A review on light-based inactivation of singlestranded RNA viruses. *Pathogens* 9, 737 (2020).
- Tomb, R. M. et al. New proof-of-concept in viral inactivation: Virucidal efficacy of 405 nm light against feline calicivirus as a model for norovirus decontamination. Food Environ. Virol. 9, 159–167 (2017).
- Ho, D. T. et al. Effect of blue light emitting diode on viral hemorrhagic septicemia in olive fl under (Paralichthys olivaceus). Aquaculture 521, 735019 (2020).
- 32. Wu, J. et al. Virucidal efficacy of treatment with photodynamically activated curcumin on murine norovirus bio-accumulated in oysters. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* **12**, 385–392 (2015).
- Maclean, M., MacGregor, S. J., Anderson, J. G. & Woolsey, G. High-intensity narrow-spectrum light inactivation and wavelength sensitivity of *Staphylococcus aureus*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 285, 227–232 (2008).
- Martinez-Sobrido, L. & Garcia-Sastre, A. Generation of recombinant influenza virus from plasmid DNA. J. Vis. Exp. https://doi. org/10.3791/2057 (2010).
- 35. Carocci, M. & Bakkali-Kassimi, L. The encephalomyocarditis virus. Virulence 3, 351-367 (2012).
- Derraik, J. G., Anderson, W. A., Connelly, E. A. & Anderson, Y. C. Rapid evidence summary on SARS-CoV-2 survivorship and disinfection, and a reusable PPE protocol using a double-hit process. *MedRxiv* (2020).
- Bache, S. *et al.* Universal decontamination of hospital surfaces in an occupied inpatient room with a continuous 405 nm light source. J. Hosp. Infect. 98, 67–73 (2018).
- 38. Bouvier, N. M. & Palese, P. The biology of influenza viruses. Vaccine 26, D49-D53 (2008).

- 39. Bar-On, Y. M., Flamholz, A., Phillips, R. & Milo, R. Science Forum: SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) by the numbers. *Elife* 9, e57309 (2020).
- Wang, X., Zoueva, O., Zhao, J., Ye, Z. & Hewlett, I. Stability and infectivity of novel pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus in bloodderived matrices under different storage conditions. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 11, 1–6 (2011).
- 42. Richardson, T. B. & Porter, C. D. Inactivation of murine leukaemia virus by exposure to visible light. Virology 341, 321–329 (2005).
- 43. Girard, P. et al. UVA-induced damage to DNA and proteins: direct versus indirect photochemical processes. In *Journal of Physics:* Conference Series Ser. 261 (IOP Publishing, 2011).
- 44. Tsen, S. D. *et al.* Studies of inactivation mechanism of non-enveloped icosahedral virus by a visible ultrashort pulsed laser. *Virol. J.* **11**, 1–9 (2014).
- 45. Nazari, M. et al. Plasmonic enhancement of selective photonic virus inactivation. Sci. Rep. 7, 1-10 (2017).
- 46. IEC 62471. Photobiological safety of lamps and lamp systems. (2006).
- Dougall, L. R., Anderson, J. G., Timoshkin, I. V., MacGregor, S. J. & Maclean, M. Efficacy of antimicrobial 405 nm blue-light for inactivation of airborne bacteria. In *Light-Based Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases Ser.*, 10479 (International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2018).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Kenall Manufacturing for supplying the M4DLIC6 fixture and test rig used in this study. We thank Randy Albrecht for support with the BSL3 facility and procedures at the ISMMS, and Richard Cadagan for technical assistance. This research was partly funded by CRIP (Center for Research for Influenza Pathogenesis), a NIAID supported Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance (CEIRS, contract # HHSN272201400008C); by the generous support of the JPB Foundation, the Open Philanthropy Project (research grant 2020-215611 (5384)) and anonymous donors to AG-S, and by a research contract from Kenall Manufacturing to the AG-S lab.

#### Author contributions

R.R., C.Y. and A.G.S. conceptualized the project. R.R., S.J., L.M. and M.S. performed experiments and compiled the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The García-Sastre Laboratory has received research support from Pfize , Senhwa Biosciences, 7Hills Pharma, Avimex, Blade Therapeutics, Dynavax, ImmunityBio, Nanocomposix and Kenall Manufacturing. Adolfo García-Sastre has consulting agreements for the following companies involving cash and/or stock: Vivaldi Biosciences, Pagoda, Contrafect, 7Hills Pharma, Avimex, Vaxalto, Accurius, Pfizer and Esperovax. RR, CY and AGS have filed for a provisional patent based upon these results.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.Y. or A.G.-S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** Th s article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021